### PERRY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING MINUTES

July 1, 2024

attendance Randy Kleaving (RK) were in attendance. Auditor Kristinia Hammack and Attorney advertised. Commissioners: President Randy Cole (RC) and Rebecca Thorn (RT), and Andrew Foster were also present. There was no Sheriff or News Representative in The Perry County Board of Commissioners met at 9:00 a.m., as was duly

The meeting opened with all present reciting the Pledge of Allegiance

3-0. RK made a motion to approve the agenda as modified, seconded by RT. Motion carried

She further stated she is receiving no help putting the agenda together, with every the President of the Commissioners, what is to be on the agenda and still no response add. Hammack stated she is receiving no responses, and is now emailing RC directly, as added on the agenda. She currently emails Commissioners on the Tuesday the week prior to a meeting stating what items she has for the agenda, and if there are any other items to Auditor Kristinia Hammack asked what is the system regarding items to be discussed and Sunday before the meetings. meeting adding items. RC stated he generally does not go through things until the

### PUBLIC COMMENTS

a None

#### MINUTES

- a) 06.18.2024
- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0.
- b) 06.24.2024

RK made a motion to approve, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0

# KRISTINIA HAMMACK, AUDITOR

- <u>a</u>) Health Insurance Claims: \$107,604.71
- RK made a motion to approve, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0.
- b) Dental Claims: \$106.41
- RK made a motion to approve, seconded by RT. C Vision Claims: \$122.13 Motion carried 3-0
- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK.
- d) Life Insurance Claims: \$1,430.84 Motion carried 3-0
- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0

e) 07.01.2024 Payroll: \$181,098.55

- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0
- 07.01.2024 Payroll W/H: \$38,601.45
- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0 g) 07.01.2024 AP Claim Docket: \$952,621.09
- RT made a motion to approve, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0

# STEVE HOWELL, HIGHWAY SUPERINTENDENT

**Bridge Inspection Report** 

Highway employees performed the repair. Howell stated he does not believe they will have any further problems with this not the actual bridge; it was the approach where a small section dropped in. RT asked about the Athens Road bridge, and Howell stated it is repaired. and Howell stated good. There are no bridges on a twelve-month inspection. is needing the Commissioner's signature. RT asked how the inspections went, Howell stated he received the bridge inspection reports this past Thursday, and he It was

except State roads twenty feet, it is not a bridge. The County maintains all bridges in the County Howell responded 100 that are twenty feet or longer. Per INDOT, if it is under Howell confirmed this. RT asked how many bridges are in Perry County, and RC stated that every County bridge is on a two-year inspection rotation, and

RK made a motion to sign the bridge inspection report as presented, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0.

b) Covered Bridge Certification

side of the bridge. maintenance on that bridge. Perry County does the work on the approach on its money every year for covered bridge, and this amount is used to help with Spencer County actually owns the bridge. RC stated that the County does not have a covered bridge, and Howell stated that Perry County get a small amount of

have no problem to wait until the bridge is complete. contractor stated he would hate to have work done on the road, and then get bring a 300-ton crane in on that side, along with several loads of riprap. The except for this road. County intended to pave out of LIT. Howell stated the LIT paving is complete for its bridges. bridge on Avery Ridge Road. Howell mentioned this was one of the roads the RK stated that Spencer County is getting ready to start construction on a new Howell called C & R Construction and Consulting, LLC, and they This contractor called Howell letting him know he will have to The contractor is the same contractor that Perry County uses

hoped in July, however the contractor got notice there will be at least a four-week delay. It will be the end of July at the very earliest. RC asked what is the completion date on the bridge, and Howell stated they had

RK made a motion to the sign the Covered Bridge Certification form, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0.

Howell presented a Community Crossing support letter for signature. stated the County was awarded \$1.5 million, but the bids came in so low that the allow Howell to apply for additional 2024 Community Crossings money. awarded and was spent can be reapplied for in this second round. RC stated the State is paying 75% of the cost for these roads. County only spent approximately \$1 million. The difference from what was This will

the month of July, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0. RT made a motion to sign the support letter for the Community Crossings application in

d) Vialytic:

the front window of your vehicle, and it will take pictures every 12 to 13 feet of stated this is probably a condition for Community Crossings, which currently is the road. This data is uploaded and it establishes the condition of the road. RC This is a software that is programmed in the iPhone, which you put the phone in being done manually. Roads are rated from a 1 to a 10.

creating a 5 to 7-year plan as to what will be spent on their roads. caught before they turn into a lower number, which would cost the County less RC stated the concept of this software is some of the middle range roads can be He mentioned another county uses this software, which assists with

idea what this software costs. RC would like to get a consensus to have a demo RC stated a salesman would like to come and demo this software, and he has no

stated he did not know. However, this system would be more accurate than manually looking at the roads. RK asked if there is any benefit to INDOT to have this software, and Howell

time, only information will be collected. Commissioner is in attendance. RC stated that no decision will be made at that Hammack stated that this presentation will have to be advertised if more than one RC will contact the salesman to set up a date for a presentation. Auditor

e Perry County Redevelopment Commission has the funds set aside to pave this of this road. The road is not up to specs until the top coat is put on. RC stated using the road. They are asking for the County to take on the plowing this winter will not be done until most of the construction is finished due to the heavy trucks regarding the stone base on the road in the Forest Canton Subdivision. Paving RC received a letter from the Perry County Redevelopment Commission

surface is put on. RK asked if the County commit to accept this road when it was completed, and RC confirmed this. Commission is still the owner of the road, and the County will plow it until the County can enter into an agreement that states the Perry County Redevelopment RC stated the County can accept this road contingent upon a surface, or the

RC asked how if there is any advantage to accept these miles and add them to the Howell stated this road could be added to the Highway's route when plowing

road inventory, and Howell responded yes, it gets the County a small amount of

and will be added to the road inventory anyway. Potentially the County could requirements to accept any private road into the County's system, and there needs Attorney Andrew Foster asked if there is anything that would stop getting the final layer put on if the County accepts this road now? RC stated there are additional tax dollars. Attorney Foster will draft a document for signatures Redevelopment Commission could state that within three years, the final surface write something to have this formalized. RC stated he feels it is to the County's have an Interlocal Agreement with the sub-entity of the same group. He could go ahead and plow this road this winter, assuming the last layer will be put on, Standards; they put more base rock down than the County requires to be the surface on the road to be able to do that. This is from the original will be placed on this road. Adding the road to the road inventory picks up advantage to accept the road. The requirement for the Perry County Foster stated that the Commissioners could instruct the Highway Department to County's Ordinance. He further stated that the road was built to Indiana State

Commission putting an inch and a half down on the road, seconded by RT. Motion RK made a motion to accept this road, contingent upon the Perry County Redevelopment

## ANDREW FOSTER, ATTORNEY

a) Water lines on Old State Road 237

is going across and where the lines are going. Howell stated it is Sam Foertsch. tappable on the other side so there will not be multiple places that cross the road. Road 237 and determine who is the responsible parties, as well as to ensure it is RC stated this is the water line that has been requested to run across Old State Foster will make contact with Foertsch Foster stated he has not had much progress with this, he needs a contact for who

b) Title Search on Onyx Road

that there is a 200-foot stretch that the County is not sure if it is County owned or have gotten back to Foster stating they would be able to look at this. The issue is abstracting entities that have left information with the Recorder. also spoken to the Recorder, and Foster's office contacted all the title searching or Foster stated he spoke to Howell on this day and received more detail. He has private owned. Howell does not believe this road is the County's, but the County RC stated he found this road in the County's road inventory and found it on GIS has maintained it in the past. Three entities

cheaper than going through with a title search. road and the County will maintain it. adjoining property owners where they give up any interest to it in the event it is an make sure the road is not the County's, or wait until someone comes and informs road if this is their property or they have any legal claim to it. This would be give the County a legal document granting X number of feet of Easement for that unknown, but if someone has any interest in that property, then deed it to the completely legal. RC asked Foster if the County could do a Quitclaim title to the further stated there is one group of entities who want this open, and the others do RK asked if the County should go ahead with the title search just to be safe? He stated this has been maintained by the County for several years. maintain the 200-foot strip than to hire a title searcher or abstractor. Howell RC stated that when he received these quotes, he felt it would be cheaper to the County it is not theirs, or it is the County's and needs to be maintained needs to be maintained. It comes down to if the County wants to be proactive to title to this part of the road, pending someone showing the County that this road ownership of the 200-feet, or the County can state it does not feel it has any legal these quotes to RC. Foster stated that the County can spend the money to clarify that 200-foot stretch. had thought given an entity will have to go back to see if there was any claim to ensure the County was okay with this. to proceed with this, of different amounts from different entities, he wanted to Foster received price quotes, and although the Commissioners authorized Foster County or an Easement. Property owner or owners on both sides of the road can RT stated that she was thinking the same thing. A title searcher will make it He asked if the County opens this, will it be setting itself up for a lawsuit? The quotes were from \$125 to \$1,500. This will be more expensive than Foster An Easement will open up this Foster forwarded

others. Howell stated with Onyx Road, if the landowners in the back can not get past, the County decided to go by what it gets paid for. gravel roads? Howell stated when something like Onyx Road has come up in the it meet the County requirements? RC asked Howell how the County accepts Foster asked if the County is allowed to accept this road into the inventory? Does out past the church, which the Highway Department always assumes this was a County road, it is a problem. was not on that list. RT stated that if the County does this, it opens the door for Howell did not know this

that if this is done, there needs to be a County Ordinance stating how the County a title search to show should it be needed somewhere at a later time. RT stated might open up an opportunity for others. RK continued to emphasize the need for accepts gravel roads and who will maintain them. RC stated that RT makes a good point, if this is opened up for one, the County

abstractors are licensed and insured in the event of an error, and Foster stated it could point to? Assessor Mendy Lassaline suggested asking the Recorder's office this? Foster stated there is one who quoted \$150, he was given information as to RC asked Foster how the County would determine which one of the vendors to do because some abstractors give the records to an attorney, and the attorney will depends. Deputy Recorder Mary Lee Smith stated that they have to be licensed. Recorder in the position to have to recommend somebody. RC asked Foster if all as they work with these entities. asked if the individual has done similar work, anything that the Commissioners entities stated they would do the title search anywhere from \$250 to \$1,500. RC what the County is looking for, and he seemed very eager to do it. The other review their abstract and provide an opinion for it. Foster stated the entity that is providing the opinion would have the liability Attorney Foster stated he did not want to put the

do it for that amount, it will narrow the option of entities possibly authorize up to \$500 so that he can go to these entities and if they cannot Foster stated that if the County wants to go forward with the title opinion,

RK made a motion to approve up to \$500 for a title search on Onyx Road, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0. Foster feels that if the County has title originally, then it is already the County's

### COMMISSIONERS

a) Ambulance Bid

the last ambulance for the County. Ambulance Sales and Service, LLC in the amount of \$190,855. Tenterprises LLC bid stated they would deliver by March 1, 2025. Ambulance Sales and Service, LLC bid stated they would deliver by December LLC in the amount of \$187,085. They are the vendor who performed the work on RC stated bids were submitted and opened at the last meeting from R Enterprises Also received was a bid from Crossroads The R The Crossroads

R Enterprises LLC is less money and a little quicker delivery.

Coroner Warren Taylor stated he has been told by EMS and paramedics that there is RK made a motion to approve the bid from R Enterprises LLC, seconded by RT. Motion carried 3-0. This amount is being paid out of ARP funds that have been previously

problem with the current ambulance with wiring and lights. RC stated this is under warranty and Leonard Hahus would need to contact R Enterprises LLC directly.

b) Courthouse Expansion

through LIT which became available on this date. RC stated that bids were received for the Courthouse Expansion for the project to be sent to the Perry County Council for approval. The funds are available \$698,000, and Arnold and Associates bid \$653,200 for that addition. This needs Prosecutor's office. The County received two bids. JK Forever Homes bid They can be used for this

seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0. RT made a motion to award the bid to Arnold and Associates pending Council approval,

c) ARP

Council committee suggested that needed to be brought before the Commissioners and RC stated that at the last ARP meeting, there were two items that the ARP

1. Coroner's Office in the amount of \$25,000

making it the same height as the interior floor. This provides some renovation at the Armory, building up with concrete, The coolers would be put

can be transferred with that unit. a body lift that is positioned incorrectly, that will be rotated so that bodies in the office where they do the autopsies. In addition, they currently have on this new platform recessed into the wall. This will provide more room

panel, they will run a short on footage for both coolers. One cooler will to be moved if both coolers are put in that spot. Also, with the electrical Coroner Taylor stated with the platform, some major plumbing will have looked at the shooting range area, and the new cooler could be placed fit, but two will not. Taylor stated he and Steve Hauser, EMA Director, This is where the old cooler currently is placed.

both coolers. RK asked if one cooler would be placed in one location, and the other in another location, and Taylor responded yes. in the original location. what he originally proposed, and Taylor responded the cooler will not fit in the original location. RK asked one or both coolers? Taylor responded RC asked Taylor that he is now moving the cooler to a new location than

stay on the cot; he would still have the lift in the autopsy room to lift the the lift could not be utilized for lifting bodies with the second cooler enough room on that wall on the back side. is needed for the cooler that the Coroner currently has needed. The bodies will remain on the cots. He further stated that the lift with the lift, and Taylor stated with the walk-in cooler, the lift is not Taylor stated with the second cooler, the walk-in cooler, the bodies will would be recessed in the wall so that the lift could still be used. measuring for the coolers, it was discovered that there would not be both coolers would be recessed in that room. boiler is would be built up to the same level as the Coroner's floor, and with the autopsy table. The thought process was the low area where the RC stated the problem currently is the body cooler is in the same room RC stated the Coroner is losing the access of the second cooler Taylor stated one cooler Taylor stated once he started RC stated

would be the best. money to do this right and have both in the Coroner's office, he feels this performed. RK stated that if the County needs to spend a little bit more it would be ideal to have the coolers with access where autopsies are responded yes. RC stated for the Coroner's office to function efficiently, RC would like to table this until specific details are available. RT asked Taylor if he is just changing the layout, and he responded yes in is an exhaust fan in the wall where the walk-in cooler will be placed wiring or plumbing? Taylor stated the only thing that will need to be put RT asked Taylor how much more dollar wise is needed to do the extra Taylor if he would like it have this as originally designed, and he RC agreed. RK asked

RT made a motion to table until more details are ascertained, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0.

#### 2

audit would be for 2023, 2024, 2025, and 2026. \$30,000 was set aside for Federal audit, they estimated \$7,500 would be the County's cost. This of them. RC had a discussion with the State Board of Accounts, and on a RC stated that the ARP funds will be audited every year due to the amount

Motion carried 3-0. RT made a motion to set aside \$30,000 for audits for the ARP Fund, seconded by RK

a simple majority of one political party. RC wants to have Attorney Foster review this to ensure the County is legally following what its Ordinance states. requirement is only one. It is required by both that affiliation is not a majority or hotel/motel, and this is not from the County Ordinance. requirement to have two individuals on this commission that were involved in Perry County Convention, Visitor and Tourism Commission Letters of Interest RC stated that at the Commissioner's last meeting, it was presented to them the The County's Ordinance

Motion carried 3-0. RT made a motion to table pending review by the County Attorney, seconded by RK

- adopted plans regarding the removal of a private restroom on the west wing of the plans approved for the addition to the Courthouse. She questioned the new Assessor Mendy Lassaline addressed the Commissioners regarding the latest Courthouse. RC responded to her question.
- Auditor Hammack also made a public comment regarding this matter. The next meeting is Tuesday, July 16, 2024 at 6:00 p.m.
- f)

The meeting was adjourned at 10:25 a.m. CST. RT made a motion to adjourn, seconded by RK. Motion carried 3-0.

Randy Cole President 6

Rebecca Thorn
Vice-President Thom

Randy Kleaving

Minutes reviewed by: Kristinia L. Hammack, Auditor

Minutes prepared by: Leisa M. Ecker, Deputy Auditor